## **Public Funding Options for NWL**

Funding type	Pros	Cons
General Fund	Broadest flexibility	<ul><li>Deficits!</li><li>Lots of other demands on the GF</li></ul>
Bonds	<ul> <li>Surety of multi-year funding once it is passed by voters</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Capital costs only</li> <li>Requires the passage of a new bond</li> <li>Campaign costs and uncertainty of passage</li> </ul>
GGRF	<ul> <li>Fair amount of flexibility in usage (must reduce GHGs and a portion of GGRF funding overall must benefit disadvantaged communities)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Unpredictability especially as we get closer to 2030</li> <li>Lots of demand</li> </ul>